ABSTRACT
A cooperative communication system (CCS) aims to reduce the effect of multipath fading. There are two types of protocol relay techniques, namely, amplify and forward (AF) and decode and forward (DF). Hybrid relay selection (HRS) is a composite of AF and DF protocols where the relay will select one protocol using hybrid relay selection technique. If the information is accepted, then the relay node will pick to send information with the DF protocol or vice versa. Hybrid relay protocols on cooperative communication system using AF relay protocol, DF, and hybrid with Selection Combining (SC) technique. Hybrid Relay Selection System is used to reduce Bit Error Rate.
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INTRODUCTION
Cooperative communication improves system by eliminating effect of multipath fading on wireless channel to improve system performance. There are two types of protocol relay techniques, namely amplify and forward (AF) and decode and forward (DF). Protocols relay function is, (1) In AF (amplify and forward) the information on the relay nodes is amplified before the transmission of the destination node. And (2) DF (decode and forward) the information sent to each node is encoded firstly. The relay will select one protocol using hybrid relay selection (HRS) technique. The Selected of the best relay based on the SNR parameter each protocol. In previous research has been proposed some cooperative communication systems and relay selection. Liu Tanxi proposed a performance analysis of hybrid relay selection on cooperative communication systems. Trung Q. studied the performance gain of hybrid decode amplify forward on cooperative communication systems. Furuzan determines the SNR threshold limit chosen by the relay on the cooperative communication systems.
INTRODUCTION TO RELAYING TECHNIQUES

A relay network is a wide range network topology frequently utilized as a part of wireless networks, where the source and destination are interconnected using nodes. In its simplest form of cooperative network is composed of a source device, a destination device and a relaying terminal, which supports communication between source and destination. If the direct communication between source destination pair faulty then the overheard information can be relayed to destination by the relaying node.

In cooperative networks, a user does not only transmit its own information, but also helps other user to transmit their data by relaying their information. The process of relaying overheard information is called as relaying protocol and it can be categories as:

1. Fixed gain.
   a. Amplify & Forward.
   b. Decode & Forward.
   c. Compress & Forward

Several basic relaying protocols are explained in following subheadings.

(a). Amplify and Forward (AF)

A basic cooperative signalling is the amplify-and-forward method. As the name infers, the user then can amplify and re-transmit the received data in noisy for. The base station joins the data sent by the user and associate, and settles on an ending choice on the transmitted bit.
Despite the fact that noise is amplified by cooperation, the base station gets 2 autonomously faded variants of the signal and can settle on better choices on the location of data.

(b). Decode and Forward (DF)

This scheme is may nearest to the thought of a customary relay. In this strategy a user needs to recognize the receiver’s bits and after that retransmits the identified bits(Fig:3). The receivers may be allocated commonly by the base station, or through some other system. Consider two users collaborating with each other, yet in practical the main vital issue is that every user has a receiver that gives an additional (diversity) data path. The accomplish the same impact through other association topologies that evacuate the strict limitation of pairing.

(c). Compress and Forward (CF)

In compress and forward scheme unlike as AF & DF relays will transmit the estimate of received signal to the destination node. This scheme is also known as Estimate and forward scheme(E&F). The received signal at any relay is first quantized and then compressed, the resulting signal will acquire less bandwidth.
(a). Adaptive Decode and Forward (ADF)

In Adaptive DF scheme if source is unable to transmit to relay node then it will retransmits the information to the destination node. This scheme is used only when the link between relay to destination terminal in known to have fewer errors. Adaptive DF uses link estimation to decide whether a particular relay is suitable for transmission or not.
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APPLICATIONS
- Cooperative sensing for cognitive radio
- Wireless Ad hoc Network
- Wireless Sensor Network
- Vehicle to Vehicle Communion.

CONCLUSION
Hybrid relay selection on cooperative communication system is one type of protocol that select the relay use between relay AF and DF. Relay selection based on largest SNR value between AF and DF modes. Hybrid relay protocol on cooperative communication system process the best performance compare to direct AF, direct DF, multi-hope AF and multi-hope DF. Relay selection CC is an important task for achieving maximum capacity.
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